

# United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	JAMES B. ZAGEL	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	10 C 4040	DATE	7/8/2010
CASE TITLE	Paul Smith (#B-52357) vs. L. Williams, et al.		

**DOCKET ENTRY TEXT:**

The plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* [#3] is denied, without prejudice. The plaintiff is directed to submit a renewed i.f.p. petition with a current certification, and which includes copies of his prison trust fund ledgers showing his income for the six months preceding the filing of this action [that is, from December 29, 2009, through June 29, 2010]. Failure to comply within thirty days of the date of this order will result in denial of leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and summary dismissal of this case. The Clerk is directed to provide the plaintiff with a blank i.f.p. petition along with a copy of this order. The plaintiff is reminded that he must (1) provide the court with the original plus a judge's copy of every document filed and (2) file separate documents pertaining to separate cases. The plaintiff may not file one document pertaining to multiple cases.

[For further details see text below.]

Docketing to mail notices.

## STATEMENT

The plaintiff, a state prisoner, has brought this *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The plaintiff claims that the defendants, health care providers at the Pontiac and Stateville Correctional Centers, violated the plaintiff's constitutional rights by acting with deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs. More specifically, the plaintiff alleges that the defendants prescribed two incompatible medications, knowing the potentially grave consequences of taking the two medications together.

The Clerk has accepted this *pro se* civil rights complaint for docketing pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(e) even though it was not submitted in compliance with the rules of this court. An incarcerated person seeking leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* must obtain a certificate from a prison official stating the amount of money the prisoner has on deposit in his or her prison or jail trust fund account. To enable the court to make the necessary assessment of an initial partial filing fee, the prisoner must also "submit a certified copy of the trust fund account statement (or institutional equivalent) for the prisoner for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint or notice of appeal, obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). In the case at bar, the plaintiff has failed to include copies of his  
**(CONTINUED)**

mjm

## **STATEMENT (continued)**

prison trust fund ledgers for the full six months preceding the initiation of this lawsuit; furthermore, the i.f.p. certification is over three months old.

In short, if the plaintiff wants to proceed with this lawsuit, he must submit a recently certified i.f.p. petition, along with copies of trust fund ledgers showing his income for the entire six months preceding the filing of this lawsuit [that is, from December 29, 2009, through June 29, 2010]. The Clerk will provide the plaintiff with a blank i.f.p. application. Failure to comply [or, in the alternative, to submit the statutory filing fee of \$350.00] will result in summary dismissal of this suit. *See Zaun v. Dobbin*, 628 F.2d 990 (7th Cir. 1980).